

AUG 17 1971

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

No. 70-18, 1971 Term

E. ROBERT SEEVER, CLERK

JANE ROE, JOHN DOE AND MARY DOE,

Appellants,

JAMES HUBERT HALLFORD, M.D.,

Appellant-Intervenor,

—v.—

HENRY WADE,

*Appellee.*ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

**BRIEF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS
AND GYNECOLOGISTS, THE AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC
ASSOCIATION, THE AMERICAN MEDICAL WOMEN'S ASSO-
CIATION, THE NEW YORK ACADEMY OF MEDICINE, AND
A GROUP OF 178 PHYSICIANS AS *AMICI CURIAE*****Clyde L. Randall, M.D.**President, American College of Obstetricians
and Gynecologists
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
State University of New York Medical Center,
Buffalo(Additional *Amici* appear on inside cover)

Carol Ryan336 East 55th Street
New York, New York 10022
*Attorney for Amici Curiae**American College of Obstetricians
and Gynecologists,
the American Psychiatric Association,
the American Medical Women's
Association,**the New York Academy of Medicine,
and the following physicians:**(continued on inside front cover)*

J. Robert Willson, M.D.

Past President, 1970-1971
American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics-Gynecology
University of Michigan Medical Center,
Ann Arbor

Keith P. Russell, M.D.

Past President, 1969
American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
Clinical Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Southern California School of
Medicine, Los Angeles

Warren E. Magee, Esq.

General Counsel
American Psychiatric Association
Magee & Bulow
Washington, D.C.

TEXAS

CHARLES E. GIBBS, M.D.

President
Texas Association of Obstetricians and
Gynecologists
Associate Professor, Department of
Obstetrics and Gynecology
The University of Texas Medical School
at San Antonio

HUGH SAVAGE, M.D.

Chairman, Texas Medical Association
Committee to Study Abortion Laws in Texas
Fort Worth

JOSEPH M. WHITE, M.D.

Vice-President for Academic Affairs and
Dean of Medicine
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

**CHARLES WILLIAM DAESCHNER, JR.,
M.D.**

Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

HEINZ F. EICHENWALD, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
The University of Texas Southwestern
Medical School
Dallas

HAMILTON FORD, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Neurology and Psychiatry
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

RAYMOND H. KAUFMAN, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Baylor University College of Medicine
Houston

PAUL MacDONALD, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The University of Texas Southwestern
Medical School
Dallas

WILLIAM J. McGANITY, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

JACK PRITCHARD, M.D.

Professor and former Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The University of Texas Southwestern
Medical School
Dallas

JOSEPH SEITCHIK, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The University of Texas Medical School
at San Antonio

ROBERT H. BARNES, M.D.
Professor, Department of Psychiatry
The University of Texas Medical School
at San Antonio

HERMAN L. GARDNER, M.D.
Clinical Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Baylor University College of Medicine
Houston

ROBERT S. GLEN, M.D.
Professor, Department of Psychiatry
The University of Texas Southwestern
Medical School
Dallas

WILLIAM C. LEVIN, M.D.
Professor
Department of Internal Medicine
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

L. CHARLES POWELL, M.D.
Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

ROBERT L. ROESSLER, M.D.
Professor
Department of Psychiatry
Baylor University College of Medicine
Houston

PEGGY JOYCE WHALLEY, M.D.
Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The University of Texas Southwestern
Medical School
Dallas

ROBERT B. WHITE, M.D.
Professor
Department of Neurology and Psychiatry
The University of Texas Medical Branch
Galveston

ALABAMA

CHARLES E. FLOWERS, JR., M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Alabama Medical Center
Birmingham

ARKANSAS

WINSTON K. SHOREY, M.D.
Dean, School of Medicine
University of Arkansas Medical Center
Little Rock

DAVID L. BARCLAY, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Arkansas Medical Center
Little Rock

CALIFORNIA

J. G. MOORE, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
U.C.L.A. School of Medicine
Los Angeles

KENNETH R. NISWANDER, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
School of Medicine
University of California
Davis

WILLIAM L. NYHAN, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
University of California, San Diego
University Hospital of San Diego County
San Diego

KENNETH J. RYAN, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla

EDWIN M. GOLD, M.D.
Professor in Residence
Maternal and Child Health
School of Public Health
University of California
Berkeley

NORMAN KRETCHMER, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor of Pediatrics
Stanford University School of Medicine
Department of Pediatrics
Stanford

JEROME M. KUMMER, M.D.
Clinical Professor
Department of Psychiatry
School of Medicine
University of California
at Los Angeles

CHARLES E. McLENNAN, M.D.
Professor of Gynecology and Obstetrics
Stanford University
Stanford

E. W. OVERSTREET, M.D.
Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of California
San Francisco

EDWARD B. SHAW, M.D.
Professor of Pediatrics, Emeritus
University of California School of Medicine
San Francisco

GEORGE S. HARRIS, M.D.

Assoc. Clinical Prof. of
Obstetrics & Gynecology
USC School of Medicine
Los Angeles

ALBERT E. LONG, M.D.

Associate Clinical Professor of
Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of California, San Francisco
San Francisco

FRANK BOWMAN, M.D.

Medical Director
Southern Calif. Edison Co.
Los Angeles

COLORADO

H. G. WHITTINGTON, M.D.

Director of Psychiatry
Department of Health and Hospitals
State Department of Health
Denver

DAVID W. TALMAGE, M.D.

Dean, School of Medicine
and Professor of Microbiology
and Medicine
University of Colorado Medical Center
Denver

C. HENRY KEMPE, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
University of Colorado Medical Center
Denver

E. STEWART TAYLOR, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Colorado
Denver

CONRAD M. RILEY, M.D.

Professor
Departments of Pediatrics
and Preventive Medicine
University of Colorado Medical Center
Denver

CONNECTICUT

NATHAN KASE, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven

CLARENCE D. DAVIS, M.D.

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JOHN F. J. CLARK, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Howard University and
Freedmen's Hospital
Washington

ROBERT H. BARTER, M.D.

Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology
George Washington University
2141 K Street, Northwest
Washington

FLORIDA

E. M. PAPPER, M.D.

Vice President and Dean
School of Medicine
University of Miami
Miami

WILLIAM A. LITTLE, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Miami School of Medicine
Miami

HARRY PRYSTOWSKY, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Florida College of Medicine
Gainesville

GEORGIA

ARTHUR P. RICHARDSON, M.D.

Dean, Emory University School of Medicine
Atlanta

JOHN D. THOMPSON, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics
Emory University School of Medicine
Atlanta

HAWAII

WINDSOR C. CUTTING, M.D.

Dean, School of Medicine
University of Hawaii
Honolulu

ILLINOIS

WILLIAM J. GROVE, M.D.

Executive Dean
University of Illinois College of Medicine
Chicago

ROBERT B. LAWSON, M.D.

Vice President for Health Sciences
Northwestern University
Chicago

D. N. DANFORTH, Ph.D., M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology
Northwestern University Medical School
Chicago

ROBERT C. STEPTO, M.D.
Chairman, Department of Obstetrics
and Gynecology
Chicago Medical School
Chicago

RALPH M. WYNN, M.D.
Professor and Head
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The Abraham Lincoln School of Medicine
University of Illinois Medical Center
Chicago

FREDERICK ZUSPAN, M.D.
John Bolivar DeLee Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
The Chicago Lying-In Hospital
The University of Chicago
Chicago

CHARLES FIELDS, M.D.
Clinical Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Mount Sinai Hospital
Chicago

ALBERT DORFMAN, M.D.
Richard T. Crane Distinguished Service
Professor of Pediatrics
University of Chicago
Chicago

INDIANA

CARL P. HUBER, M.D.
Coleman Professor
Obstetrics and Gynecology
Indiana University Medical Center
Indianapolis

IOWA

ROBERT C. HARDIN, M.D.
Vice Provost and Dean
Health Affairs
University of Iowa
Iowa City

KANSAS

KERMIT E. KRANTZ, M.D., Litt.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics
Professor of Anatomy
University of Kansas Medical Center
Kansas City

KENTUCKY

DOUGLAS M. HAYNES, M.D.
Dean and Professor of Obstetrics
and Gynecology
University of Louisville School of Medicine
Health Sciences Center
Louisville

W. S. JORDAN, JR., M.D.
Dean
University of Kentucky
College of Medicine
Lexington

TOM F. WHAYNE, M.D.
Associate Dean
University of Kentucky
College of Medicine
Lexington

JOHN W. GREENE, JR., M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Kentucky Medical Center
Lexington

WARREN E. WHEELER, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
University of Kentucky
Medical Center
Lexington

LOUISIANA

RICHARD L. FOWLER, M.D.
Professor and Head
Department of Pediatrics
Louisiana State University
School of Medicine
New Orleans

MARYLAND

DAVID E. ROGERS, M.D.
Dean of the Medical Faculty
Johns Hopkins University School of
Medicine
Baltimore

THEODORE M. KING, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Johns Hopkins University School of
Medicine
Baltimore

A. L. HASKINS, M.D.
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Maryland Hospital
Baltimore

IRVIN M. CUSHNER, M.D.
Director, Center for Social Studies in
Human Reproduction
Associate Professor of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Johns Hopkins University School of
Medicine
Baltimore

ISADORE A. SIEGEL, M.D.
Emeritus Professor of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
University of Maryland Medical School
Baltimore

HELEN B. TAUSSIG, M.D.
Professor Emeritus of Pediatrics
The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine
Baltimore

JOSEPH COUDON, M.D.
South Atlantic Association of Obstetrics
and Gynecology
Diplomate, American Board of Obstetrics
and Gynecology
Perryville

MASSACHUSETTS

ROBERT H. EBERT, M.D.
Dean of the Faculty of Medicine
Harvard Medical School
Boston

GEORGE V. SMITH, M.D.
Wm. H. Baker Professor of Gynecology,
Emeritus
Harvard Medical School
Boston

SOMERS H. STURGIS
Professor of Gynecology
Harvard Medical School
Boston

ALLAN M. BUTLER, M.D.
Professor of Pediatrics, Emeritus
Harvard Medical School
Boston

DAVID CHARLES, M.D.
Director, Department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Boston City Hospital
Boston

MICHIGAN

T. N. EVANS, M.D.
Acting Dean and
Chairman, Department of Gynecology and
Obstetrics
Wayne State University
Detroit

ANDREW D. HUNT, JR., M.D.
Dean
College of Human Medicine
Michigan State University
East Lansing

WILLIAM B. WEIL, JR., M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Human Development
Michigan State University
East Lansing

MINNESOTA

DAVID G. DECKER, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Mayo Clinic
Rochester

JOSEPH H. PRATT, M.D.
Professor of Surgery
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Head, Gynecological Surgery
Mayo Clinic
Rochester

MARY E. MUSSEY, M.D.
Consultant in Gynecology
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Mayo Clinic
Rochester

H. MEAD CAVERT, M.D.
Associate Dean
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

JOHN J. SCIARRA, M.D.
Professor and Head
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Minnesota Hospitals
Minneapolis

HARRY FOREMAN, M.D.
Director, Center for Population Studies
University of Minnesota
Mayo Memorial Hospital
Minneapolis

FRANK W. QUATTLEBAUM, M.D.
Associate Professor of Surgery
School of Medicine
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis

JANE E. HODGSON, M.D.
Assistant Clinical Professor of
Obstetrics and Gynecology
School of Medicine
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis

HAROLD G. RAVITS, M.D.
President, Ramsey County Medical Society
Chairman, and Clinical Professor
Department of Dermatology
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
St. Paul

DWIGHT MARTIN, M.D.
President-elect, Ramsey County Medical Society
Clinical Assistant Professor
Department of Medicine
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
St. Paul

DAVID M. CRAIG, M.D.
Past-president, Ramsey County Medical Society
Clinical Associate Professor
Department of Medicine
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
St. Paul

CARL RICE, M.D.
Editor, *Minnesota Medicine*
Clinical Associate Professor
Department of Surgery
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

ERICK Y. HAKANSON, M.D.
Chairman and Associate Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
St. Paul Ramsey Hospital
St. Paul

JOHN W. FROST, M.D.
Chairman and Professor
Department of Medicine
St. Paul Ramsey Hospital
St. Paul

ROBERT G. B. BJORNSON, M.D.
Chairman and Associate Professor
Department of Radiology
St. Paul Ramsey Hospital
St. Paul

ROBERT J. GUMNIT, M.D.
Chairman and Professor
Department of Neurology
St. Paul Ramsey Hospital
St. Paul

THEODORE T. WATSON, M.D.
Clinical Assistant Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

FRED A. LYON, M.D.
Clinical Assistant Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

MELVIN T. BAKEN, JR., M.D.
Clinical Assistant Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

JOHN F. PERRY, M.D.
Professor of Surgery
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

WILLIAM BERNSTEIN, M.D.
Clinical Professor of Surgery
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

SAMUEL W. HUNTER, M.D.
Clinical Associate Professor of Surgery
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

MILTON M. HURWITZ, M.D.
Clinical Professor of Medicine
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

ROBERT B. BENJAMIN, M.D.
Clinical Associate Professor of Surgery
University of Minnesota School of Medicine
Minneapolis

MISSISSIPPI

ROBERT E. BLOUNT, M.D.
Director and Dean
University of Mississippi School of Medicine
Jackson

MISSOURI

JAMES C. WARREN, M.D.
Professor and Head, Designate
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Washington University Medical School
St. Louis

NEBRASKA

ROBERT B. KUGEL, M.D.
Dean, College of Medicine
The University of Nebraska
Omaha

WARREN H. PEARSE, M.D.
Foundation Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Nebraska Medical Center
42nd and Dewey Streets
Omaha

NEW HAMPSHIRE

CARLETON B. CHAPMAN, Dean
Dartmouth Medical School
Hanover

NEW MEXICO

ROBERT A. MUNSICK, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of New Mexico School of
Medicine
Albuquerque

NEW YORK

ALAN F. GUTTMACHER, M.D.
President, Planned Parenthood/World
Population
New York

LOUIS M. HELLMAN, M.D.
Professor Emeritus of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
State University of New York
Downstate Medical Center
Brooklyn

GEORGE JAMES, M.D.
President
Mount Sinai Medical Center
New York

HAROLD C. WIGGERS, M.D.
Executive Vice President and Dean
Albany Medical College
Albany

FRITZ FUCHS, M.D.
Given Foundation Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Cornell University Medical College
New York

S. B. GUSBERG, M.D., D.Sc.
Professor and Chairman of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Mt. Sinai School of Medicine
of the City University of New York
New York

ROBERT J. HAGGERTY, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
University of Rochester School of
Medicine and Dentistry
Rochester

SAUL KRUGMAN, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
New York University Medical Center
New York

JONATHAN T. LANMAN, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
Downstate Medical Center
Brooklyn

CURTIS J. LUND, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics-Gynecology
University of Rochester School of
Medicine and Dentistry
Rochester

JAMES H. NELSON, JR., M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
State University of New York
Downstate Medical Center
Brooklyn

ROBERT E. L. NESBITT, JR., M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
State University of New York
Upstate Medical Center
Syracuse

SEYMOUR L. ROMNEY, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Albert Einstein College of Medicine
Bronx Municipal Hospital Center
Pelham Parkway and Eastchester Road
Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics
Bronx

DONALD P. SWARTZ, M.D.
Director, Department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Harlem Hospital Center
New York

HAROLD M. M. TOVELL, M.D.
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
College of Physicians and Surgeons
Columbia University
New York

RAYMOND L. VANDE WIELE, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Director of the Ob-Gyn Service
Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center
New York

JEAN A. CORTNER, M.D.
Professor of Pediatrics
State University of New York
Buffalo

EDWARD C. CURNEN, JR., M.D.
Carpentier Professor of Pediatrics
College of Physicians and Surgeons
Columbia University
New York

GORDON W. DOUGLAS, M.D.
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
New York University School of Medicine
New York

HARRY H. GORDON, M.D.
Professor of Pediatrics
Albert Einstein College of Medicine
Bronx

RICHARD L. DAY, M.D.,
Emeritus Professor of Pediatrics
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
New York

HERBERT G. WINSTON, M.D.
Clinical Professor of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Albert Einstein College of Medicine
Bronx

NORTH CAROLINA

W. G. ANLYAN, M.D.
Professor of Surgery and
Vice President for Health Affairs
Duke University Medical Center
Durham

MANSON MEADS, M.D.
Vice President for Medical Affairs and
Dean
The Bowman Gray School of Medicine
Winston-Salem

RICHARD L. BURT, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Bowman Gray School of Medicine
Winston-Salem

ROY T. PARKER, M.D.
F. Bayard Carter Professor and
Chairman, Department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Duke Medical Center
Durham

NORTH DAKOTA

FALLACE W. NELSON, M.D.
Assistant Dean, Student Affairs
School of Medicine
University of North Dakota
Grand Forks

OHIO

CLIFFORD G. GRULEE, JR., M.D.
Dean
University of Cincinnati
College of Medicine
Cincinnati

FREDERICK C. ROBBINS, M.D.
Dean, School of Medicine
Western Reserve University
Cleveland

M. A. AYERS, M.D.
Acting Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Medical College of Ohio
Toledo

ROBERT G. PAGE, M.D.
Dean and Professor of Medicine and
Pharmacology
Medical College of Ohio
Toledo

E. L. PRATT, M.D.
Director, Department of Pediatrics
University of Cincinnati,
College of Medicine
Children's Hospital
Cincinnati

RICHARD W. STANDER, M.D.
Professor and Chairman, Department of
Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Cincinnati Medical Center
Cincinnati

FRANK FALKNER, M.D., M.R.C.P.
Fels Professor of Pediatrics
University of Cincinnati College
of Medicine
Cincinnati

OKLAHOMA

JAMES A. MERRILL, M.D.
Professor and Head
Dept. of Gynecology and Obstetrics
University of Oklahoma
School of Medicine
Oklahoma City

HARRIS D. RILEY, JR., M.D.
Professor of Pediatrics
Children's Hospital
University of Oklahoma
Oklahoma City

OREGON

RALPH C. BENSON, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Oregon
Medical School, Hospitals and Clinics
Portland

PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT L. BRENT, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
Stein Research Center
Jefferson Medical College
Philadelphia

ELSIE R. CARRINGTON, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Medical College of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia

RUSSELL R. de ALVAREZ, M.D.
Chairman Emeritus and
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Temple University Hospital
Philadelphia

ROY G. HOLLY, M.D.
Chairman, Department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Jefferson Medical College
Philadelphia

S. LEON ISRAEL, M.D.
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
School of Medicine, University of
Pennsylvania
Philadelphia

JOSEPH P. LONG, M.D.
Associate Professor of Obstetrics and
Gynecology
Jefferson Medical College
Philadelphia

DONALD N. MEDEARIS, JR., M.D.
Professor of Pediatrics
Dean, School of Medicine
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh

WILLIAM G. SLATE, M.B., Ch.B., M.S.
Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Temple University School of Medicine
Chairman, Division of Gynecology and
Obstetrics
Albert Einstein Medical Center
Philadelphia

VICTOR C. VAUGHAN III, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Pediatrics
Temple University School of Medicine
Philadelphia

SOUTH CAROLINA

LAWRENCE L. HESTER, JR., M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Medical University of South Carolina
Charleston

D. STROTHER POPE, M.D.
Secretary, S.C. Medical Society
Past-President (1966)
S.C. Obstetrical and
Gynecological Society
Columbia

SOUTH DAKOTA

GEORGE W. KNABE, JR., M.D.
Dean
School of Medicine
University of South Dakota
Vermillion

TENNESSEE

STEWART A. FISH, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Tennessee College of
Medicine
Memphis

DONALD A. GOSS, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Vanderbilt University School of Medicine
Nashville

W. F. BERNELL JAMES, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Meharry Medical College
Nashville

UTAH

THOMAS C. KING, M.D.
Provost
University of Utah
School of Medicine
Salt Lake City

VERMONT

WILLIAM H. LUGINBUHL, M.D.
Dean
University of Vermont
College of Medicine
Burlington

JOHN VAN S. MAECK, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Chief of Service
University of Vermont College of Medicine
Burlington

VIRGINIA

LEO J. DUNN, M.D.
Professor and Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Medical College of Virginia
Health Science Division
Virginia Commonwealth University
Richmond

W. G. THURMAN, M.D.

Professor of Pediatrics
Department of Pediatrics
University of Virginia School of Medicine
Charlottesville

W. NORMAN THORNTON, JR., M.D.

Chairman
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Virginia School of Medicine
Charlottesville

WASHINGTON

BERNARD GOMBERG, M.D.

Clinical Assistant Professor of
Obstetrics and Gynecology
University of Washington School of
Medicine
Seattle

RALPH J. WEDGWOOD, M.D.

Professor and Chairman Department of
Pediatrics
University of Washington School of
Medicine
Seattle

WEST VIRGINIA

WALTER A. BONNEY, M.D.

Professor and Chairman Department of
Obstetrics and Gynecology
West Virginia University School of
Medicine
Morgantown


WILLIAM G. KLINGBERG, M.D.

Professor and Chairman Department of
Pediatrics
West Virginia University School of
Medicine
Morgantown

WISCONSIN

BEN M. PECKHAM, M.D.

Chairman, Department of Gynecology and
Obstetrics
Associate Dean, Clinical Affairs
University of Wisconsin Medical School
Madison



INDEX

	PAGE
Interest of <i>Amici Curiae</i>	1
ARGUMENT	4
I. The Statute Is Unconstitutionally Vague	4
A. The Statutory "Saving Life" Test Is Void For Vagueness	4
B. The Statute Mandates The Use Of A Test Which Is Inconsistent With The Best Medi- cal Practice And Sets No Standards For The Application Of Its Test	6
II. The Texas Anti-Abortion Statute Infringes Upon Constitutionally Protected Fundamental Rights Of Physicians And Of Patients	10
A. The Statute Unconstitutionally Interferes With The Physician's Right To Practice Medicine	10
B. The Statute Deprives Patients Of Their Constitutionally Protected Right To Medical Treatment	11
C. The State, Through The Physician, Denies Patients Due Process Because The Physician Making The Decision Has A Direct Personal Interest In Deciding Against Abortion	13
D. The Statute Interferes With The Constitu- tionally Protected Right Of Patients To Privacy	15
CONCLUSION	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE

Constitutional Provisions:

United States Constitution—

Amendment IV	15-16
Amendment V	5
Amendment IX	12
Amendment XIV	10, 14

Statutes:

2A Texas Penal Code arts. 1191-94, at 429-35 (1961)	4, <i>passim</i>
2A Texas Penal Code art. 1196, at 436 (1961)	4, <i>passim</i>
22 D.C. Code 201	5

Cases:

Babbitz v. McCann, 310 F. Supp. 293 (E.D. Wis. 1970) <i>per curiam</i> , <i>appeal dismissed</i> , McCann v. Babbitz, 400 U.S. 1 (1970)	18
Bonham's Case, 8 Coke 113b, 77 Eng. Reprint 646 (1610)	14
Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority, 365 U.S. 715 (1961)	13
Connally v. General Construction Co., 269 U.S. 385 (1926)	4
Doe v. Bolton, 319 F. Supp. 1048 (N.D. Ga. 1970), <i>supplemental opinion</i> , Oct. 14, 1970, <i>per curiam</i> , <i>juris. postponed</i> , 402 U.S. — (No. 971, 1970 Term; renumbered 70-40, 1971 Term)	18

Doe v. Scott, 321 F. Supp. 1385 (N.D. Ill. 1971), <i>appeal docketed sub nom. Hanrahan v. Doe</i> , 39 U.S.L.W. 3438 (U.S. Mar. 29, 1971) (No. 1522, 1970 Term; renumbered No. 70-105, 1971 Term) ..	18
Giaccio v. State of Pennsylvania, 382 U.S. 399 (1966)	4
Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)	11, 15, 17
Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U.S. 11 (1905)	12
Lanzetta v. New Jersey, 306 U.S. 451 (1939)	4
Lombard v. Louisiana, 373 U.S. 267 (1963)	13
Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1 (1967)	17
Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643 (1961)	16
McCandless v. State, 166 N.Y.S. 2d 272 (Ct. Cl. 1956, <i>rev'd on other grounds and modified</i> , 3 A.D. 2d 600 (3d Dept. 1957), <i>aff'd</i> 4 N.Y.2d 797 (1958) ..	15
Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390 (1923)	17
Olmstead v. United States, 277 U.S. 438 (1928)	15
People v. Barksdale, — Cal. App.3d —, — Cal. Rptr. —, 1 Crim. 9526 (Calif. Dist. Ct. App. July 22, 1971)	17
People v. Belous, 71 Cal. 2d 996 (1969)	17
Peterson v. City of Greenville, 373 U.S. 244 (1963) ..	13
Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925)	17
Rogers v. Danforth, No. 315512 (Mo. Cir. Ct. St. Louis, June 7, 1971)	17
Schware v. Board of Bar Examiners, 353 U.S. 232 (1957)	10

	PAGE
Skinner v. Oklahoma, 316 U.S. 535 (1942)	17
Stanley v. Georgia, 394 U.S. 557 (1969)	16
Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1 (1968)	16
Tumey v. Ohio, 273 U.S. 510 (1927)	14
United States v. Vuitch, 91 Sup. Ct. 1294 (1971)	5
Willner v. Committee on Character and Fitness, 373 U.S. 96 (1963)	10
<i>Other Authorities:</i>	
Aarons, <i>Therapeutic Abortion and the Psychiatrist</i> , 124 Am. J. Psychiatry, 745 (1967)	11
Annotation, <i>Judge, Interest in Fine or Penalty</i> , 50 A.L.R. 1256 (1927)	14
Bates & Zawadzki, <i>Criminal Abortion</i> 3 (1964)	13
Black, <i>Law Dictionary</i> (4th ed. 1951)	6
Calderone, <i>Abortion in the United States</i> (1958)	5
1 Davis, <i>ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TREATISE</i> 445 (1958)	15
Moritz & Thompson, <i>Septic Abortion</i> , 95 Am. J. Obst. & Gynec. 46 (1966)	13
Niswander, <i>Medical Abortion Practices in the United States</i> , 17 W. Res. L. Rev. 403 (1965)	14
Random House Dictionary (1967)	5
Rosen, <i>Psychiatric Implications of Abortion: A Case Study in Social Hypocrisy</i> , 17 W. Res. L. Rev. 435- (1965)	14, 17
Williams, <i>THE SANCTITY OF LIFE AND THE CRIMINAL LAW</i> 171 (1957)	9

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1970

No. 70-18 1971 Term

JANE ROE, JOHN DOE AND MARY DOE,

Appellants,

JAMES HUBERT HALLFORD, M.D.,

Appellant-Intervenor,

—v.—

HENRY WADE,

Appellee.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BRIEF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS, THE AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION, THE AMERICAN MEDICAL WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION, THE NEW YORK ACADEMY OF MEDICINE, AND A GROUP OF 178 PHYSICIANS AS *AMICI CURIAE*

Interest of *Amici Curiae*

The individuals whose names are appended hereto as *amici curiae* are deans and vice presidents of medical schools, heads of departments of obstetrics, gynecology

and pediatrics in medical schools, practicing physicians and surgeons who are specialists in those fields, and other physicians and psychiatrists having a particular interest in the subject matter of this brief. The organizations whose names are appended hereto are among the largest, oldest and most respected national organizations in the medical profession. These organizations are devoted to the promotion of the highest possible quality health care and it is toward that end that they join in this brief as *amici*. They include many leaders in the medical profession and renowned teachers in medical schools. As teachers, they are impelled to seek to protect the right of their students—the future generations of doctors—to give their patients the benefit of knowledge acquired in the medical schools. As practicing physicians, *amici* are bound by oath to give their patients the benefit of the best medical knowledge. These physicians are concerned that the Texas anti-abortion law prevents them from fulfilling their sworn duties and responsibilities in the highest traditions of their profession. They believe that the Texas anti-abortion statute is wrong in principle, fundamentally unsound in the light of present-day medical and surgical knowledge, and a serious obstacle to good medical practice. *Amici* believe that the restrictions imposed by the Texas statute on the performance of medically indicated therapeutic abortions interfere with the physician-patient relationship and with the ability of physicians to practice medicine in accordance with the highest professional standards. *Amici* are also concerned with the burden the law places on physicians to interpret, at their peril, a statute whose meaning and scope are not clear. Accordingly, *amici* deem it appropriate to offer arguments with respect to this area of law which is of vital concern to them.

The American Psychiatric Association is a non-profit, tax exempt, scientific and educational medical organization, comprised of those 18,783 qualified Doctors of Medicine who specialize as psychiatrists in the diagnosis, care and treatment of mental diseases and defects of the mind. Abortions are of prime interest to psychiatrists because pregnancy, child bearing, birth and abortions can have material effects upon the mental processes of patients requiring psychiatric diagnosis, evaluation and care.

The Board of Trustees of the APA on December 12-13, 1969, upon recommendation of the Committee on Psychiatry and Law, approved the following:

"Position Statement on Abortion

A decision to perform an abortion should be regarded as strictly a medical decision and a medical responsibility. It should be removed entirely from the jurisdiction of criminal law. Criminal penalties should be reserved for persons who perform abortions without medical license or qualification to do so. A medical decision to perform an abortion is based on the careful and informed judgments of the physician and the patient. Among other factors to be considered in arriving at the decision is the motivation of the patient. Often psychiatric consultation can help clarify motivational problems and thereby contribute to the patient's welfare."

ARGUMENT

I.

The Statute* Is Unconstitutionally Vague.

A. The Statutory "Saving Life" Test Is Void For Vagueness.

Under Texas law, abortion is permitted only "for the purpose of saving the life of the mother." If, following the performance of an abortion, under this law, a physician is brought to trial and the jury disagrees with the physician's interpretation of the meaning of these quoted words, the physician is liable to imprisonment for from two to five years in the penitentiary.**

This Court has declared that "a statute which either forbids or requires the doing of an act in terms so vague that men of common intelligence must necessarily guess at its meaning and differ as to its application, violates the first essential of due process of law." *Connally v. General Construction Co.*, 269 U.S. 385, 391 (1926). "No one may be required at peril of life, liberty, or property to speculate as to the meaning of penal statutes. All are entitled to be informed as to what the State commands or forbids." *Lanzetta v. New Jersey*, 306 U.S. 451, 453 (1939). See also *Giaccio v. Pennsylvania*, 382 U.S. 399, 402-403 (1966). Under this standard the statute must fall, because amici respectfully submit that neither they, nor Dr. Hallford nor any other similarly situated physician receive proper

* The criminal laws relating to abortion, i.e., 2A Texas Penal Code, arts. 1191-94, 1196 at 429-35, 436 (1961) are collectively referred to as "the statute."

** 2A Texas Penal Code, arts. 1191-94, 1196, at 429-35, 436 (1961).

notice from the statute of what acts and consultations in their daily practice of medicine will subject them to criminal liability. This Court's rejection of the vagueness argument in *United States v. Vuitch*, 91 Sup. Ct. 1294 (1971) does not vitiate the point in the instant case. In *Vuitch* the District Court rested its finding that the District of Columbia statute prohibiting abortions was vague on two grounds: first, that the burden of establishing that the decision to abort was within the statutory exception fell upon the accused physician in violation of the Fifth Amendment; and, second, that the statute prohibiting abortions except "as necessary for the preservation of the mother's life or health. . . ." * was vague for failing to make clear, *inter alia*, whether mental health as well as physical health was included. This Court held that the burden of pleading and proving that an abortion was not within the statutory exception fell not upon the defendant-physician, but upon the prosecutor, and that the term "health" included mental as well as physical well-being.

Amici rest their vagueness argument upon different grounds. *Amici* contend that the phrase "for the purpose of saving the life" is so indefinite and vague that physicians must guess at its meaning and do in fact differ as to the meaning of the phrase.** The word "save" has a broad range of possible meanings. The Random House Dictionary lists, *inter alia*, "to rescue from danger or possible harm, . . . to avoid . . . the waste of, . . . to treat carefully in order to reduce wear, fatigue, etc. . . ."

* 22 D. C. Code 201.

** Calderone, *Abortion in the United States*, 34, 35, 52, 167 (1958).

The term "life" has been defined as:

1. "That state of animals and plants or of an organized being, in which its natural functions and motions are performed or in which its organs are capable of performing such function." Black, Law Dictionary (4th ed. 1951).

And as

2. "The sum of forces by which death is resisted."
Id.

Life may mean the vitality, the joy, the spirit of existence, as well as merely not dying. The possible interpretations of the statute range therefore from a test requiring imminence of death to one which would permit abortion if desirable to preserve an enjoyable life, *i.e.*, a test under which the physician could consider the effect of pregnancy upon the quality of the patient's life and not merely upon the fact of life as not death. The statute forces the physician to decide at his peril whether a strict or liberal interpretation, or one in between, is the one intended by the statute. It forces him at his peril to make a decision which may be gainsaid by a jury of non-peer laymen whose guess will be as good as his as to the meaning of this statute. In sum the statute fails to provide the certainty required of penal laws.

B. The Statute Mandates The Use Of A Test Which Is Inconsistent With The Best Medical Practice And Sets No Standards For The Application Of Its Test.

Physicians have a professional obligation to preserve and advance the health of their patients. Assuming *arguendo* that the statute should be read as requiring a judg-

ment by the physician that without an abortion the patient will die, the statute conflicts with the physician's obligation because it commands him to ignore all the health interests of his patient with respect to termination of pregnancy unless he can predict that she will die without an abortion. Moreover, the statute does not tell the doctor what factors he may properly consider in making this prediction; nor how certain his prediction must be before he may decide to terminate his patient's pregnancy; nor how soon she must die if she does not have an abortion.

The process of predicting whether a person will die is highly complex. One of the factors in making such a prediction is the treatment given the patient. Often, alternative treatments are available to the doctor, and which treatment he will use depends on many factors and assumptions about his patient. The statute does not give the doctor any guidance as to the factors and assumptions he may take into account in determining if he may use termination of pregnancy to save his patient's life.

From a purely physiological viewpoint, other treatments than abortion may be available to save the life of the patient. However, doctors commonly must take account of factors that are not purely physiological. The factors that must be taken into account include: (1) the relative physical risk of alternative treatment, (2) the ability of a patient to sustain a rigid course of treatment, (3) her willingness to complete other treatment, (4) whether she will have good medical facilities available to her, (5) the doctor's own ability to effectively prescribe and complete alternative treatments, (6) the availability of alternative treatment from scarce specialists, (7) whether her mode of life will allow alternative treatment (*e.g.*, must she care for a family

or work, rather than take an extended rest cure), and (8) what she can afford.

In deciding whether he may terminate a woman's pregnancy, the doctor must guess at which of these factors he may consider in predicting whether abortion is necessary to save life, and he must guess at the relative weight he may give to any factor.

After examining his patient and considering all the information available to him, the physician may arrive at a probability that the woman will die if she does not have an abortion. The statute does not tell the doctor how certain of her death he must be before he may terminate her pregnancy. The statute does not tell him that he may terminate pregnancy only if it is more likely than not that she will otherwise die, or only if there is an even chance she will die, or only if there is a "significant" or "substantial" chance that she will die.

If a doctor predicts that a woman may die without an abortion, he may also be able to predict when she will die. Death may be imminent, it may come before delivery, it may come at or soon after delivery, or it may come some time after delivery. The only definite statutory requirements for an abortion appear to be that death be caused by continued pregnancy, and that death be sooner than if the woman did not complete her pregnancy. But almost any impairment of health will shorten life; continued pregnancy may impair a woman's health and shorten her life by some period of time. Her doctor then must guess at what life shortening must occur before he may terminate her pregnancy. He must guess whether the statute allows abortion only if his patient would otherwise die before de-

livery or if it is sufficient that her life would be significantly shortened thereafter.

If a patient threatens suicide, physicians do not know if they may rely upon the threat as a basis for abortion to save life. Psychiatric consultation may not be available because the woman may refuse such treatment. The non-psychiatrist may then be forced to evaluate the probability of suicide. The physician does not know how he may determine safely whether the patient is sincere in her threat. Furthermore, a woman who does not overtly threaten may be as inclined toward suicide as one who makes clear her threat. The non-psychiatrist doctor is not told whether he may consider suicidal tendencies whether they are stated by his patient, or not.

If a doctor may properly consider the fact that his patient may take her own life unless she receives an abortion, the question is opened whether he may consider the fact that she may seriously imperil her life by obtaining an illegal abortion. See WILLIAMS, *THE SANCTITY OF LIFE AND THE CRIMINAL LAW* 171 (1957). For a doctor to consider his patient's threat to obtain an illegal abortion by an unlicensed person is a logical step from his considering her threat of suicide, because such illegal abortions are extremely hazardous and are in fact a common cause of maternal deaths.

Physicians are unable to agree on the meaning of the statute because its words have no medical meaning. Medical standards have been established for treating patients and for terminating pregnancy as part of that treatment. The statute cuts across those standards and requires physicians to apply an unclear legal test which supersedes and may negate their medical judgment.

II.

The Texas Anti-Abortion Statute Infringes Upon Constitutionally Protected Fundamental Rights of Physicians and of Patients.**A. *The Statute Unconstitutionally Interferes With The Physician's Right To Practice Medicine.***

Unquestionably there is a constitutionally protected right to practice one's chosen profession.

"A State cannot exclude a person from the practice of law or from any other occupation in a manner or for reasons that contravene the Due Process or Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment." *Schwartz v. Board of Bar Examiners*, 353 U.S. 232, 238-9 (1957). See also *Willner v. Committee on Character and Fitness*, 373 U.S. 96 (1963).

The practice of medicine clearly includes the treatment of pregnancy and its attendant conditions. The statute interferes with a physician's practice of medicine by substituting the mandate of a vague legalism for the doctor's best professional judgment as to the medically indicated treatment for his pregnant patients.

Physicians and surgeons in many special branches of medicine routinely make extremely serious decisions regarding their patients' best medical welfare, often with life or death in the balance. But those physicians treating pregnant women run the risk of criminal charges as the result of their professional decisions.

The statute unfairly discriminates against those physicians treating pregnant women and thus denies these physicians equal protection of the laws.

A criminal lawyer must often make weighty decisions on behalf of his client and sometimes the client's very life hangs in the balance. But no lawyer runs the risk of criminal prosecution and of being judged on his professional decisions by a jury of non-peer laymen.

The statute also burdens the physician-patient relationship in this situation with conflicting objectives, rendering candor and confidence impossible to achieve. Normally physician and patient share the same goal and work together in an atmosphere of mutuality and privacy toward that goal. In the situation of a pregnant woman seeking an abortion the physician-patient relationship deteriorates into an adversary proceeding with the patient trying to persuade and the physician trying to judge correctly to protect himself. Aarons, *Therapeutic Abortion and The Psychiatrist*, 124 Am. J. Psychiatry, 745 (1967).

B. The Statute Deprives Patients Of Their Constitutionally Protected Right To Medical Treatment.*

The statute forbids all abortions except those necessary to save the life of the mother. Construing the statute to intend its narrowest possible meaning, i.e., that abortions are lawful only when they will prevent certain and imminent death, it is clear that the operation of the statute may deny women abortions when the abortion would prevent injury or safeguard or preserve the patient's mental or physical health. Thus a woman suffering from heart disease, diabetes or cancer whose pregnancy worsens the underlying pathology may be denied a medically indicated therapeutic abortion under the statute because death is

* Physicians have standing to assert the constitutional rights of their patients. *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965).

not certain. Such a patient is effectively denied a fundamental constitutional right reserved to her under the Ninth Amendment—the right to medical treatment.

In *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, 197 U.S. 11 (1905) this Court upheld a compulsory vaccination law, on the ground that the State could validly require vaccinations under its police power to protect the public health from contagious disease. Anyone for whom the vaccination would pose a serious impairment of health would, however, be excepted from the statute's application. This Court held:

"It is easy, for instance, to suppose the case of an adult who is embraced by the mere words of the act, but yet to subject whom to vaccination in a particular condition of his health or body, would be cruel and inhuman in the last degree. We are not to be understood as holding that . . . the judiciary would not be competent to interfere and protect the health and life of the individual concerned." *Id.* at 38-39.

A state may not require that a citizen impair his or her health, even if the individual's right to good health and medical care infringes upon some legitimate state interest. The State of Texas may not in pursuit of its policy infringe upon the constitutionally protected right of its pregnant citizens to the medical treatment they require to maintain their good health.

The anti-abortion statute denies women their right to secure the best medical treatment available and, further, positively and seriously impairs their health by forcing them to turn to illegal abortionists, most of whom are not licensed physicians and do not have the most advanced and safest medical techniques available for their

use. Statistics are necessarily uncertain, but a frequent estimate is that over one million criminal abortions occur in the United States each year, resulting in an estimated 5,000 maternal deaths annually. Moritz & Thompson, *Septic Abortion*, 95 Am. J. Obst. & Gynec. 46 (1966); Bates & Zawadzki, *Criminal Abortion* 3 (1964).

That 5,000 American women a year should be denied medically safe procedures and thus be driven to their untimely deaths to avoid bearing unwanted children is unconscionable.

Death due to complications following illegal abortion procedures are only part of the problem. Many thousands of other women needlessly suffer serious infections following these procedures in addition to pain, suffering and emotional trauma.

C. The State, Through The Physician, Denies Patients Due Process Because The Physician Making The Decision Has A Direct Personal Interest In Deciding Against Abortion.

The State of Texas is ultimately responsible for the decisions not to terminate pregnancies made by physicians in the course of their private medical practices. The State is imposing its will upon the behavior of private parties and cannot claim these decisions are private ones. See *Lombard v. Louisiana*, 373 U.S. 267 (1963); *Peterson v. City of Greenville*, 373 U.S. 244 (1963); *Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority*, 365 U.S. 715 (1961).

The physician is not disinterested in the decision he renders; he cannot make an impartial decision. The State declares that if he wrongly decides that an abortion is necessary to save life, he will be subject to criminal penalties. It shocks one's sense of justice when the person

who administers the law stands to gain or lose personally by a decision he makes. If that person has a direct, personal, substantial, pecuniary interest in the decision it violates the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution. *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 523 (1927). A doctor has a direct, personal, substantial interest for his decision may send him to jail.

Not only does the State prevent the physician from making an impartial decision about terminating his patient's pregnancy, it unfairly influences this decision in a shocking way. The State says that only if the physician wrongly decides that the operation is needed to preserve her life is he criminally liable. If he wrongly decides the operation is not needed to preserve her life, he is subject to no criminal penalties. The State of Texas thus requires that all errors in a doctor's evaluation of his patient's need for termination of pregnancy be on the side of her death.*

One of the oldest and most famous cases stating that an impartial decision is required by the basic precepts of law involved medical doctors. *Bonham's Case*, 8 Coke 113b, 77 Eng. Reprint 646 (1610); see also *Annotation, Judge, Interest in Fine or Penalty*, 50 ALR 1256 (1927). In *Bonham's Case*, Lord Coke held that a panel of doctors could not decide a case, as required by Parliament, in which they had a personal, pecuniary interest. Moreover, the necessity of an impartial decision has been confirmed by a New York Court reviewing the decision of a panel of doctors to per-

* That this is not merely suppositious is evident from the comments of doctors who have examined therapeutic abortion practices. See Rosen, *Psychiatric Implications of Abortion: A Case Study in Social Hypocrisy*, 17 W. Res. L. Rev. 435, 443-444 (1965). See also Niswander, *Medical Abortion Practices in the United States*, 17 W. Res. L. Rev. 403, 418-419 (1965).

form an abortion. *McCandless v. State*, 166 N.Y.S. 2d 272 (Ct. Cl. 1956), *rev'd on other grounds and modified*, 3 A.D. 2d 600 (3d Dept. 1957), *aff'd* 4 N.Y.2d 797 (1958). In *McCandless*, the court stated that the decision to perform an abortion "should have been carried on by physicians and/or psychiatrists *whose only concern was the life of the patient.*" 166 N.Y.S. 2d at 276 (emphasis added).

A physician practising medicine under the Texas statute cannot keep as his sole concern his patient's life. A doctor would have to be superhuman if he were able to ignore the fact that his decision can be second-guessed by a jury which may totally disregard medical evidence. Therefore, his patient cannot receive the impartial decision required by due process of law.*

D. The Statute Interferes With The Constitutionally Protected Right Of Patients To Privacy.

The opinion of Mr. Justice Goldberg in *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479, 494 (1965) refers to the following statement of Mr. Justice Brandeis in his dissent in *Olmstead v. United States*, 277 U.S. 438 (1928) as "comprehensively summariz[ing] the principles underlying the Constitution's guarantees of privacy":

"the makers of our Constitution . . . conferred, as against the Government, the right to be let alone—the

* *Amici* do not contend that a formal adversary hearing is required to meet the standards of due process. Within the legal bounds which might be established, *amici* believe that the decision is a medical one, requiring a technical, medical judgment. For this reason, an adversary hearing is not required by due process standards. See 1 DAVIS, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TREATISE, 445-448 (1958). Due process however, does require an unbiased medical decision, where that decision determines whether a woman may live or die.

most comprehensive of rights and the right most valued by civilized men. To protect that right, every unjustifiable intrusion by the Government upon the privacy of the individual, whatever the means employed, must be deemed a violation of the Fourth Amendment." 277 U.S. at 478.

The right to "personal security" and "privacy" against unreasonable governmental intrusion has been consistently protected as a basic right. See, e.g., *Terry v. Ohio*, 392 U.S. 1 (1968); *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643 (1961).

"[F]undamental is the right to be free, except in very limited circumstances, from unwanted governmental intrusions into one's privacy." *Stanley v. Georgia*, 394 U.S. 557, 564 (1969).

The freedom to be the master of her own body, and thus of her own fate, is as fundamental a right as a woman can possess.

The Texas statute, by forcing a woman to carry to full term an embryo—regardless of her wishes, her health, her circumstances, her finances, her family or her future—is the most severe and extreme invasion of her right to privacy.

She is forced to function as a baby factory for an unwanted child. In addition to the gross invasion by the state into a pregnant woman's physical autonomy, the law imposes enormous additional obligations on this woman toward her child once it is born. Furthermore, these obligations, involuntarily assumed, continue for many years throughout the child's minority.

This Court has repeatedly recognized the right to privacy in matters involving the family. Thus, matters involving child rearing (*Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, 268 U.S. 510 (1925); *Meyer v. State of Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390 (1923)), marriage (*Loving v. Virginia*, 388 U.S. 1 (1967)) and child bearing (*Skinner v. Oklahoma*, 316 U.S. 535 (1942); *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)) have been held by this Court to involve fundamental rights not subject to abridgement by the state. The statute clearly has its major impact on intimate intra-family relations—compelling reproduction which is not wanted. Most abortions are obtained by married mothers. Rosen, *Psychiatric Implications of Abortion: A Study In Social Hypocrisy*, 17 W. Res. L. Rev. 435, 436 (1965).

Both the *Skinner* and *Griswold* cases dealt with the issue of the constitutionality of state regulation of the right to reproduce and on both occasions this Court acted to protect those rights from state interference.

It is unthinkable for a state to compel reproduction against a woman's wishes. The right of a woman to avoid pregnancy following conception has been recently recognized in State and Federal Courts.

People v. Belous, 71 Cal. 2d 996 (1969); *People v. Barksdale*, — Cal. App. 3d —, — Cal. Rptr. —, 1 Crim. 9526 (Calif. Dist. Ct. App. July 22, 1971); *Rogers v. Danforth*, No. 315512 (Mo. Cir. Ct., St. Louis, June 7, 1971); *Babbitz v. McCann*, 310 F. Supp. 293 (E.D. Wis. 1970), *per curiam*, appeal dismissed, *McCann v. Babbitz*, 400 U.S. 1 (1970); *Doe v. Bolton*, 319 F. Supp. 1048 (N.D. Ga. 1970) supplemental opinion Oct. 14, 1970 *per curiam*, *juris. postponed*, 402 U.S. — (No. 971, 1970 Term; renumbered

70-40, 1971 Term); *Doe v. Scott*, 321 F. Supp. 1385 (N.D. Ill. 1971), *appeal docketed sub nom. Hanrahan v. Doe*, 39 U.S.L.W. 3438 (U.S. Mar. 29, 1971) (No. 1522, 1970 Term; renumbered No. 70-105, 1971 Term). This Court should not fail to protect the fundamental constitutional right of women to decide whether they want to have children.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons hereinabove stated, it is respectfully requested that the relief sought by appellants and the appellant-intervenor be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

CAROL RYAN
Attorney for Amici

No. 70-18

Following papers filed August 25, 1971,
NOT PRINTED:

Opposition to motion of the New Women Lawyers,
et al., for leave to file a brief, as amici
curiae.

Opposition to motion of American Association
of University Women, et al., for leave to file
a brief, as amici curiae.

Opposition to motion of Planned Parenthood
Federation of America, Inc., et al., for leave
to file a brief, as amici curiae.

Opposition to motion of State Communities Aid
Association for leave to file a brief, as
amicus curiae.

Opposition to motion of American Ethical
Union, et al., for leave to file a brief, as
amici curiae.

Opposition to motion of The National Legal
Program on Health Problems of the Poor, et
al., for leave to file a brief, as amici
curiae.